

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Criminal Revision **Filing** No. 2311 of 2025

Shambhu Prasad Soni Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand			
2. Durga Prasad	...	...	Opposite parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Swapnil Anand, Advocate
For the Opposite party no. 1-State	: A.P.P.
For the Opposite party no. 2	: Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate

7/04.05.2026 I. A. No. 2300 of 2025:

Heard Mr. Swapnil Anand, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Dinesh Kumar, learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 as well as learned A.P.P. for the State.

This interlocutory application has been preferred by the petitioner for grant of exemption to surrender in terms of Rule 159 of the High Court of Jharkhand Rules, 2001.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that if the matter is adjourned for 4 weeks, efforts at a compromise shall be made by the petitioner.

The said submission has been opposed by the learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2.

It appears from the order dated 03.03.2025 that the petitioner was directed to deposit an amount of Rs. 3,00,000/- as compensation amount. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the said amount has not been deposited. The plea of the petitioner for adjournment for 4 weeks seems to be fashioned to delay the disposal of the case and not surrender before the learned trial court as despite there being an order of this court on 03.03.2025, the petitioner has not deposited the amount of Rs. 3,00,000/- as directed upon him. Merely on account of stating that the petitioner is willing to compromise would not implore this court to consider grant of exemption to surrender in terms

-2-

of Rule 159 of the High Court of Jharkhand Rules, 2001 and consequently, this interlocutory application stands rejected.

I.A. No. 2300 of 2025 stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

R. Shekhar Cj 3