

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**W.P. (S) No. 553 of 2018**

-
1. Budhdeo Oraon son of Late Dhiru Oraon, aged about 60 years, resident of Birsa Nagar, Pugu, P.O. Armai, P.S. and District Gumla.
 - 2(i) Kiran Toppo, widow of Late Sushil Oraon, Aged about 60 years.
 - 2(ii) Sanjay Oraon, S/o Late Sushil Oraon, aged about 37 years.
 - 2(iii) Ritu Lakra, D/o Late Sushil Oraon, aged about 32 years.
All resident of:-Shanti Nagar, Palkot Road, Gumla, P.O., P.S. & Dist.-Gumla (Jharkhand).
 3. Isidore Lakra son of Late Rupus Lakra, aged about 59 years, resident of Palkot Road, Urmi, P.O., P.S. and District Gumla.
 4. Walter Xalxo son of Late Gabriel Xalxo, aged about 56 years, resident of Palkot Road, Ganeshpur, P.O. Dumardih, P.S. and District Gumla.
- Petitioners**

Versus

1. Ranchi University, Ranchi through its Registrar, Mahatma Gandhi Marg, Ranchi, P.O. G.P.O., P.S. Kotwali, District Ranchi.
 2. Vice-Chancellor, Ranchi University, Mahatma Gandhi Marg, Ranchi, P.S. Kotwali, P.O. and District Ranchi.
 3. Registrar, Ranchi University, Mahatma Gandhi Marg, Ranchi, P.S. Kotwali, P.O. and District Ranchi.
 4. The State of Jharkhand.
 5. Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department, Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. Dhurwa, P.S. Jagannathpur, District Ranchi.
 6. Director, Higher and Technical Education Department, Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. Dhurwa, P.S. Jagannathpur, District Ranchi.
 7. Secretary, Department of Finance, Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O.- Dhurwa, P.S. Jagannathpur, District Ranchi.
- Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Afaq Ahmad, Advocate
Mr. Sharabhil Ahmad, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Kunal Chandra Suman, A.C. to G.P.-II
M/s. Anoop Kumar Mehta, Amit Kr. Sinha,
Praveen Tirkey, Advocates

21/Dated:-19.01.2026**I.A. No. 828 of 2026**

1. This interlocutory application has been preferred by the applicants for substituting the name of original petitioner No.2

as he died during pendency of this writ application by substituting his legal heirs at his place, the details of which are mentioned in para 5 of this application.

2. Looking to the averments made in this interlocutory application, I.A. No.828 of 2026 is allowed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to make necessary amendment in the cause title with red ink in course of the day.

W.P. (S) No. 553 of 2018

4. With the consent of the parties, the instant application has been taken up for hearing today.

5. During course of hearing, the sole ground urged by learned counsel for the petitioners that even admitting the entire things of counter affidavit, then also, similarly situated employees are getting the benefit of A.C.P./M.A.C.P.; however, the same is being denied to the petitioners.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent fairly submits that there is no specific denial on the issue of parity; as such, the petitioners may be relegated to the concerned respondent to which the petitioners agreed.

7. Accordingly, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of by giving liberty to the petitioners to file a joint detailed representation before the respondent No.6 annexing all necessary documents in support of their claim. The moment the 6th respondent will receive the representation, he will look into the matter and after verifying the records and applicable rules and

regulations, pass an appropriate order and the amount which would be found payable, if any, shall be paid to the petitioners.

It goes without saying that the entire exercise shall be completed within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of such representation.

8. Pending I.A., if any, also stands closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

January 19, 2026
vikas/-
uploaded
31/01/2026