

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S). No. 473 of 2022

(Radhika Paswan Vs. the State of Jharkhand & Ors.)

CORAM : HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.N. PATHAK

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Rahul Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondents	:	Ms. Shilpi, AC to SC(M)-II
		Mr. Arvind Kr. Mehta, Advocate

I.A. No. 10222 of 2022

15/ 02.11.2023 Instant interlocutory application has been preferred for amendment in para-1 as well as in prayer portion of the main writ application. The proposed amendment has been mentioned at para-9 of the aforesaid Interlocutory Application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently the said prayer was left in the original writ petition. Learned counsel further argues that if the proposed amendment is not allowed, the petitioner will suffer irreparable loss and as such, for the ends of justice, petitioner may be permitted to carry-out the proposed amendment in the prayer portion and in other relevant paragraphs of the main writ application.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the same.

In view of submission of learned counsel for the petitioners and averments made in interlocutory application, I.A. No. 10222 of 2022 stands allowed.

W.P.(S). No. 473 of 2022

Petitioner is directed to file amended writ petition within a period of two weeks.

Subsequently, the respondents may file their reply to the amended writ petition.

List this case on 12.12.2023.

(Dr. S. N. Pathak, J.)