

(2026:JHHC:12275)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 988 of 2026

Binod Singh, aged about 37 years, son of late Akhileshwar Singh, resident of Village-Utaki, P.O. & P.S.-Patan, Dist.-Palamau (Jharkhand) ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. A.K. Kashyap, Sr. Advocate
: Mr. Anurag Kashyap, Advocate
For the State : Mr. P.D. Agrawal, Spl. P.P.
For the Informant : Mr. Mukesh Kr. Mehta, Advocate

Order No.04 Dated- 27.04.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Patan P.S. Case No.169 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 140(1)/103(1)/3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

Learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner files the supplementary affidavit. Keep the same in the record.

The learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner has committed the murder of Jaishankar Thakur. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the informant saw six named accused persons of the case present near the dead body of her husband and on seeing the informant they fled away. It is also submitted that though the petitioner is resident of the same village as that of the informant but the informant has not taken the name of the petitioner. It is further submitted that only on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused, a piece of wood of four spans was recovered which is allegedly used for committing the murder of the deceased. It is then submitted that though the informant claims that the acid like substance was sprinkled over the dead body of

the deceased but no such incriminating material could be recovered by the police, during the investigation of the case. It is next submitted that though the petitioner was involved in one case being Session Trial No. 295 of 1991 but the same has ended up in acquittal of the petitioner vide judgment dated 13.09.1995, a copy of which has been annexed with the supplementary affidavit. It is next submitted that charge sheet has been submitted in this case. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 13.10.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 12 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Spl. P.P. and the learned counsel for the informant opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Palamau at Daltonganj, in connection with Patan P.S. Case No.169 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)