

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 1060 of 2026

Krishna Singh @ Shiwam @ Shri Krishan Singh, son of Narendra Singh
... .. **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand **Opposite Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Indrajit Sinha, Advocate
: Mr. Akhouri Awinash Kumar, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : None

07/24.04.2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Nobody appears on behalf of the State.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 13.09.2025 in connection with Azadnagar P.S. Case No.68 of 2025, registered under Sections 126 (2), 115 (2), 352, 351 (2), 109, 61 (2), 3 (5) of BNS 2023, now pending in the court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jamshedpur.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is an allegation that the petitioner and the victim got friendly through Shadi.com and they started living together. Subsequently, the victim came to know that the petitioner was already married with a lady. As per the allegation, the lady had come to the house of the victim and poured kerosine oil, but somehow the victim locked herself in a room and in the F.I.R. itself, she has stated that in order to test as to whether the liquid was kerosine oil, she tested it by lighting the match stick and in that course, she also caught fire. The learned counsel submits that admittedly the petitioner was not at home even as per the F.I.R. instituted by the victim. However, the victim subsequently expired.
5. The case has been lodged and ultimately the charge has been framed under Section 108 of BNSS. He submits that the petitioner is in custody since 13.09.2025 and charge has already been framed.
6. A counter affidavit has already been filed by the opposite party opposing the prayer for bail wherein it has been stated that the petitioner

used to repeatedly quarrel with the victim and the victim suffered 95% injury.

7. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties and upon going through the F.I.R. which was lodged by the victim herself, who died subsequently, it seems that the victim had lit the match stick and ultimately, she expired.

8. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty-five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jamshedpur in connection with Azadnagar P.S. Case No.68 of 2025, on the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.

(ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.

(iii) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of even single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.

(iv) The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.

(v) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned trial court.

9. The instant application is allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

10. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned court concerned through "FAX/email".

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order: 24.04.2026

Saurav/-

Date of Uploading: 27.04.2026