

Shefali Khatun	...	...	Appellant
	Versus		
The State of Jharkhand & Ors.	...	...	Respondents

For the Appellant : Mr. Rajeeva Sharma, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Om Prakash, Advocate
Mrs. Rita Kumari, Advocate
For the Respondent Nos.1 to 6 : Mr. Rahul Kamlesh, AC to SC-IV
For the Respondent No. 7 : Mr. Kanti Kumar Ojha, Advocate
Mr. Sahja Nand Saraswati, Advocate

Dated: 08.06.2026

- 1

qualifications. Therefore, it is not as if the appellant was not qualified to be appointed as "Anganbari Sevika".

7. The only controversy is whether the present applicant was appointed by the *Aam Sabha* on 05.07.2019 i.e., prior to the *Aam Sabha* appointing the appellant in its meeting held on 24.02.2020. If the appellant has been in service since 24.02.2020 and further, considering the fact that the learned Single Judge has also directed the maintenance of *status quo* during pendency of the writ petition, we do not think that any case is made out for vacation of the interim order granted on 09.02.2026.
8. Accordingly, this I.A. is rejected. However, it is clarified that the observations in this order are only prima facie and the same will not influence the final hearing of this appeal.
9. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, this appeal is posted for "Final Disposal" at the admission stage on 13.07.2026 at 2.15 p.m.
10. The learned counsel for the parties must file a short synopsis of their arguments together with any decisions that they seek to rely upon in support of their respective contentions.

(M. S. Sonak, C.J.)

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

June 08, 2026
Manish/Ritesh