

(2026:JHHC:12277)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 1173 of 2026

Md. Sonu @ Sahid Ansari @ Md. Sonu Sahid, aged about 27 years, s/o late Md. Rahmat Ansari, r/o Village & P.O.-Rargaon, P.S.-Tamar, Dist.-Ranchi (Jharkhand)

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ayub Ansari, Advocate

: Mr. Sajid Yunus, Advocate

For the State : Ms. Sushma Aind, Addl. P.P.

For the Informant : Mr. Pran Pranay, Advocate

: Mr. Zaid Ahmed, Advocate

Order No.04 Dated- 27.04.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Tamar P.S. Case No.114 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 109/118(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and under Section 27 of Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner files the supplementary affidavit. Keep the same in the record.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner attempted to murder the husband of the informant by firing upon him, causing gunshot injury on his neck. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the injury sustained is simple in nature; as the first lacerated injury was 1.5 cm x 1 cm x 1 cm and the second lacerated injury was 2 cm x 1 cm x 1 cm and there is also a third injury but the same is illegible. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been acquitted in three out of seven cases in which the petitioner is also involved. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 29.10.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 01 of the bail application.

It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. and the learned counsel for the informant on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that though the petitioner is also involved in a murder case being Tamar P.S. Case No. 58 of 2021, corresponding to S.T. No. 443 of 2022 still he is bent upon running a terror in the locality by unnecessarily killing other persons. It is next submitted by learned Addl. P.P. and the learned counsel for the informant that there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with evidence, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be admitted to bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and the chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with evidence, if released on bail, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case where the abovenamed petitioner be admitted to bail at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the abovenamed petitioner is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

27.04.2026
Gunjan-