

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1067 of 2026

Kalpu Singh @ Vishal Kumar Singh, aged about 26 years, son
of Lalan Singh, resident of Village Bardiha, PO and PS Charai,
District Palamau, Jharkhand Petitioner

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Md Imtiaz Khan, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Tarun Kumar, Advocate

4/25.03.2026 Heard learned counsels for petitioner and for State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Chhattarpur P.S. Case No.91 of 2025, for offence registered under section 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 326(f), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 and section 27/35 of the Arms Act, pending in court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Palamau.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner has nothing to do with the Hywa in question which has been set on fire. He next submits that the name of the petitioner has come on confessional statement and in view of that, anticipatory bail may kindly be granted to the petitioner.

4. Learned State counsel opposes prayer and submits that allegations are there against the petitioner of setting two Hywas on fire and the petitioner is also having criminal antecedent which has come in the order of the learned Sessions Judge.

5. Allegations are there in the FIR of stopping the Hywas and on threatening the drivers of the two Hywas have been asked to leave the said Hywas, and thereafter, after sprinkling petrol, the said Hywas have been set on fire, and as the threatening has also been made and the petitioner is having criminal antecedent, and in the attending facts and circumstances of the present case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, and as such, this this anticipatory bail application is, hereby, dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

25.03.2026
SI/