

Deepak Kumar Singh ... **Appellant**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand ... **Respondent**

For the Appellant : Mr. C.S. Pandey, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Vineet Kr. Vashistha, Spl. P.P.

03/15.09.2025 Heard Mr. C.S. Pandey, learned counsel for the
appellant and Mr. Vineet Kr. Vashistha, learned Spl. P.P.
for the State.

This interlocutory application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

The appellant has been convicted for the offence u/s 376(D) of the Indian Penal Code and has been sentenced to undergo R.I. for 20 years along with a fine of Rs. 5,00,000/-.

It has been alleged that the appellant and some other accused persons had subjected the informant to rape.

Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant while referring to the evidence of the victim who has been examined as P.W.1 that both were staying together and, therefore, there was no question of the informant being subjected to rape. It has further been submitted that the appellant is in custody since 30.04.2023.

It appears from the evidence of P.W.1 that the appellant did not solemnize marriage with the informant and she has also claimed to have identified all the other accused persons who had committed rape upon her. The evidence of P.W.1, therefore, reveals that on the pretext of marriage the appellant had sexually exploited her and has

subsequently subjected her to rape by the other persons including himself.

On consideration of the aforesaid facts, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. His prayer for bail is hereby rejected.

I.A. No. 10932 of 2025 stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

Dated, the 15th September, 2025
A. Sanga/-