

THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. M.P. No. 884 of 2025

1. Mamta Nayak aged about 28 years Daughter of Late Gulu Nayak resident of village – Ward no. 10, Diwansai, P.O. – Seraikella, P.S. Seraikella, Distt. Seraikella Kharsawan (Jharkhand)
2. Sudha Nayak @ Suddha Nayak aged about 58 years wife of Late Gulu Nayak resident of village – Ward no. 10, Diwansai, P.O. – Seraikella, P.S. – Seraikella, Distt. Seraikella – Kharsawan (Jharkhand)

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand	...	...	...	Opp. Party
------------------------	-----	-----	-----	------------

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Shahsi Kr. Verma, A.P.P.

Order No. 05/ Dated 11th April, 2025

Heard Mr. Ritesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Petitioners and Mr. Shahsi Kr. Verma, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. This application has been filed for restoration of A.B.A. No. 3605 of 2024, which was dismissed for non compliance of the peremptory order dated 17.10.2024.

3. submission has been made on behalf of petitioners that on account of inability of learned counsel for the petitioners, the defect(s) could not be removed within stipulated time granted by this Court which resulted into dismissal of anticipatory bail application.

He further pointed out that as the learned counsel was out of Ranchi for the treatment of his old parents and when he returned, pooja vacation started, as such, defect(s) could not be removed within stipulated time.

4. Such submission has been made in presence of Mr. Shahsi Kr. Verma, learned A.P.P. for the State.

5. Having been satisfied with the reasons assigned in the instant application, the same is allowed and A.B.A. No. 3605 of 2024 is, hereby, restored to its original file subject to the condition that petitioners shall remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, within two weeks from today.

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)