

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 3146 of 2026

Rohit Oraon, son of Bimal Oraon	...	...	Petitioner
	Versus		
The State of Jharkhand	...	...	Opp. Party

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Rahul Bhushan, Advocate
For the Opp. Party	: Ms. Sweta Singh, Advocate

03/6th May 2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with Garu P.S. Case No. 35/2025 for the offence registered under Section 70(1) of BNS, now said to have been pending in the court of learned A.C.J.M., Latehar.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 10.09.2025 and the charge-sheet has already been submitted. He submits that the victim is 37 years of age, who is also the informant of the case and the petitioner is 19 years of age. He submits that there is a delay of 8 days in filing the FIR and the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has submitted that there is specific allegation against Ranjit Oraon, Joseph Oraon, Dinesh Oraon and Anu Oraon as mentioned in the FIR itself, but no allegation has been made against the petitioner. He submits that the name of the petitioner has come only in the confessional statement of the co-accused and there is no other material against the petitioner. He submits that the co-accused, namely, Ranjit Oraon has been granted bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in B.A. No. 11873/2025 vide order dated 11.03.2026.
3. Learned counsel for the opposite party has opposed the prayer for bail. However, she does not dispute the aforesaid submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and also the fact that the charge-sheet has already been submitted and the co-accused, namely, Ranjit Oraon has been enlarged on bail, the petitioner is directed to be enlarge on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty-Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M., Latehar in connection with Garu P.S. Case No. 35/2025, subject to the following conditions: -

- (i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.
- (ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.
- (iii) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of his single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.
- (iv) The petitioner would deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his Mobile Number before the learned court below, which he shall not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.
- (v) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned court below.

5. The instant bail application is allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

6. Let a copy of this order along with a copy of the affidavit filed with the bail petition be communicated to the court concerned through 'e-mail/FAX'.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 06.05.2026

Uploaded On: 09.05.2026

Mukul/-