

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(Cr.) No. 59 of 2024

Sunil Kumar Mahto Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand & Ors.
..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vishal Kumar, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Deepankar, A.C. to A.G.

05/ 05.09.2024 Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that one of the defects is made that the copy of the petition has not been served upon the learned counsel appearing for the ACB.

2. Mr. Deepankar, learned counsel appearing for the State submits that now a days for the ACB, the penal advocates are there and if the copies will be served in the office of the learned Advocate General, he will transfer the same to the panel advocates of the ACB.

3. In view of his such submission, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he will serve two copies of the petition in the office of the learned Advocate General for supplying the same to the penal advocate of the ACB by tomorrow.

4. As such, the defect No. 5(d) is ignored.

5. So far as rest of the defect i.e. 9(iii) is concerned, the same is ignored.

6. Office shall proceed as per the procedure.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Amitesh/-