

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 507 of 2026

Bickey Kumar Mandal @ Bicky Kumar Mandal, aged about 23 years, son of Raghunath Mandal, resident of village – Ringo Chingo, PO – Patrodih, PS – Karmatand, District – Jamtara, Jharkhand

.... Petitioner

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Md. Zaid Ahmed, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Arup Kr. Dey, Advocate

03/30.01.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Jamtara Cyber Crime P.S. Case No.69 of 2025 for the alleged offences registered under Sections 111(2)(b), 317(2), 317(4), 317(5), 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 66(B)(C)(D) of Information Technology Act and Section 42(3)(e) of Telecommunication Act, 2023, pending in the Court of learned Special Judge, Cyber Crime, Jamtara.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that false allegation is made against the petitioner and the name of the petitioner has come on the confessional statement of apprehended co-accused. He further submits that even the Aadhar Card and PAN

Card recovered from the possession of his father and brother was in the name of the petitioner and that was not of another person. He further submits that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as disclosed in paragraph No.28 of the petition. He then submits that the petitioner was doing job in a restaurant at Pune and that has been stated in paragraph No.30 of the petition.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer and submits that the name of the petitioner has come on the confessional statement.

5. Considering that PAN Card and Aadhar Card recovered from the possession of the father and brother of this petitioner was of the petitioner and that was not of the other persons and the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as disclosed in paragraph No.28 of the petition and it has been pointed out that the petitioner is doing the work in a restaurant at Pune in the State of Maharashtra and he has come to the home village on holidays and in that view of the matter the petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned Court within two weeks and the learned Court shall release the petitioner on such terms and conditions or the sureties as the learned Court may deem fit and proper.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

*Dated 30.01.2026
Sangam/*