

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (Cr.) No. 52 of 2026

1. Pratik, aged about 38 years, S/o Vinay Kumar Singh, posted as Assistant Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Ranchi Zonal Office, Plot No.1502/B, Airport Rd., Kunwar Singh Colony, P.O. Hinoo, P.S. Airport, Ranchi, Jharkhand-834002
2. Shubham Bharti, aged about 29 years, S/o Bhola Shankar Prasad, posted as Assistant Enforcement Officer, Directorate of Enforcement, Ranchi Zonal Office, Plot No.1502/B, Airport Rd., Kunwar Singh Colony, P.O. Hinoo, P.S. Airport, Ranchi, Jharkhand-834002 ... **Petitioners**

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand through Home Secretary, Government of Jharkhand, having office at Jharkhand Mantralaya, Project Bhawan, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, Ranchi, Jharkhand 834004
2. Union of India through Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) having office at CBI Headquarters, CGO Complex, P.O. & P.S. Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003
3. Officer In-Charge, Airport Police Station, having office at Airport Police Station, P.O. Airport and P.S. Doranda, Ranchi
4. Home Secretary, Government of India, Room No.113, North Block, New Delhi
5. Santosh Kumar, Gosai Tank Road, Jaggi Compound, Upper Chutia, Ranchi, Jharkhand, P.O. & P.S. Chutia, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners	: Mr. S.V. Raju, A.S.G.I. (Through VC) Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Advocate Mr. Amit Kumar Das, Advocate Mr. Saurav Kumar, Advocate Mr. Varun Girdhar, Advocate Mr. Manmohit Bhalla, Advocate Mr. Vineet Sinha, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Nnagamnthu S., Sr. Advocate (Through VC) Mr. Achyut Keshav, A.A.G.-V
For the UOI-Resp.No.4	: Mr. Prashant Pallav, A.S.G.I.
For the CBI-Resp. No.2	: Mr. Deepak Kumar Bharati, Advocate
For Respondent No.5	: Mr. Sumeet Gadodia, Advocate Mr. Ritesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate Mr. Nillohit Choubey, Advocate Ms. Shruti Shekhar, Advocate Ms. Nidhi Lall, Advocate Ms. Sejal Agarwal, Advocate

05/24.02.2026 Mr. S.V. Raju, learned A.S.G.I. has argued on behalf of the

petitioners through Video Conferencing, Mr. Nnagamnthu S., learned senior

counsel has argued on behalf of the State of Jharkhand through Video

Conferencing, Mr. Sumeet Gadodia, the learned counsel has argued on behalf of the respondent no.5, Mr. Deepak Kumar Bharati, the learned counsel has argued on behalf of the C.B.I. and Mr. Prashant Pallava, the A.S.G.I. has argued on behalf of the Union of India.

2. Mr. Nnagamnthu S., learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the State through Video Conferencing has already filed written note of argument. Mr. Sumeet Gadodia, learned counsel appearing for the informant has also filed written note of argument.

3. The argument of all the sides has been concluded, and now it is 04.40 p.m. and as such, the Court is not having any option, and in view of that, the judgment is reserved.

4. In the meantime, interim order, granted earlier by this Court vide order dated 16.01.2026, shall remain in force.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated: 24th February, 2026
Ajay/