

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

S.A. No. 39 of 2005

Eqbal Hussain and others	...	...	Appellants
Versus			
Md. Tahir Mian and others	...	...	Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellants : Mr. Kundan Kumar Ambastha, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

23/04.11.2025 As per the office note, respondent nos. 7(b), 7(e) and 7(f) refused to take notice. Accordingly, the notice upon aforesaid respondents is accepted as valid.

2. The notice has been duly served upon respondent nos. 4(a) and 4(b).
3. Notice upon respondent nos. 4(c) to 4(f), 6(a), 7(c), 10(a) to 10(f) and respondent nos. 6(a) to 6(d), 7(a) and 7(d) could not be served as they were not found at the given address.
4. Learned counsel for the appellants prays for and is granted three weeks' time for filing requisites for service of notice upon their present and correct address to be served through the officer in charge of the concerned police station upon the aforesaid respondents [4(c) to 4(f), 6(a), 7(c), 10(a) to 10(f) and respondent nos. 6(a) to 6(d), 7(a) and 7(d)].
5. Post this case on 05.01.2026.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)