



2024:JHHC:44747

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
(Civil Writ Jurisdiction)
W.P. (C) No. 5934 of 2007

Bindu Mahto & Others Petitioners
Versus
The State of Jharkhand & Others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KAILASH PRASAD DEO
(Through : Video Conferencing)

For the Petitioners : Mr. R.C. Sahu, Advocate.
For the Respondent/State : Mr. Nawal Kishore Pandey, A.C. to
Mr. P.C. Roy, S.C. (L&C)-I.
For the Respondent Nos. 8 & 9 : Mr. Shekhar Pd. Sinha, Advocate.
.....

24/20.09.2021.

It appears that in terms of order dated 13.08.2018 passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court, notices have been issued upon respondent nos. 4(a), 4(b), 7(a) & 7(b) under both process i.e. under registered cover with A/D as well as by ordinary process.

From perusal of Office note dated 16.09.2021, it appears that notices upon respondent nos. 4 (a) & 4(b) have been validly served.

So far notices upon respondent nos. 7(a) & 7(b) are concerned, the service is invalid, as the names of fathers of respondent no. 7(a) and 7(b) do not match with the names written on notice.

Under the aforesaid circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to take fresh steps for service of notice upon respondent nos. 7(a) & 7(b) by mentioning correct address and parentage, under both process, i.e. under registered cover with A/D as well as under ordinary process, for which requisites etc., must be filed within two weeks.

Unutilized copy of notice, if available on record, may be utilized for issuance of notice.

Learned counsel for the State, Mr. Nawal Kishore Pandey, A.C. to S.C. (L&C)-I and learned counsel for the respondent nos. 8 & 9, Mr. Shekhar Prasad Sinha, have no objection.

Put up this case after service of notice.

(Kailash Prasad Deo, J.)

Sunil/-