

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No.255 of 2023

1. Mrs. Sudha Minz, wife of Agapit Horo, resident of Village Surhu, P.O. Surhu, P.S. Kamdara, District Gumla.
2. Mrs. Sanjita Topno, wife of Ramu Surin, resident of Village Surhu Phantoli, P.O. Surhu, P.S. Kamdara, District Gumla.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. Deputy Commissioner, Gumla, P.O., P.S. and District Gumla.
3. District Superintendent of Education, Gumla, P.O., P.S. and District Gumla.
4. Secretary, R.C. Primary School, Gara, P.O. Gara, P.S. Kamdara, District Gumla.
5. Secretary, G.E.L. Primary School, Surhu, P.O. Surhu, P.S. Kamdara, District Gumla.

... .. Respondents

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) :	Mr. Pradeep Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent(s):	Mr. Devesh Krishna, SC Mines-III
	Mr. Kumar Paswan, AC to SC Mines-III
	Mr. Abhay Prakash, Advocate

13/ 21.01.2026

Heard learned counsel representing the petitioners and learned counsel representing the respondents.

2. In this writ petition, the petitioners have prayed for a mandamus upon the respondents to declare the result in relation to the examination conducted to fill up the post of Assistant Teacher in R.C. Primary School, Surhu and R.C. Primary School, Gara, both under the District of Gumla, Jharkhand. The petitioners further prayed that they should be appointed on the aforesaid posts.

3. Learned counsel representing the respondents submits that the results of examination for both the Schools i.e. R.C. Primary School, Gara and R.C. Primary School, Surhu, have been declared in

the year 2022 and both the petitioners have not qualified. He submits that in R.C. Primary School, Gara, there was only one post of Assistant Teacher, against which one Nillu Horo (at serial No.13), had secured 79 marks, which was highest and she was selected and is working. So far as R.C. Primary School, Surhu, is concerned, he submits that there were two posts and in both the posts, two candidates namely Pushpa Surin (at serial No.23) and Maria Clarin Dhanwar (at serial No.14), who were appointed, had obtained more marks than these petitioners. In the said School (in Surhu), Pushpa Surin and Maria Clarin Dhanwar, have obtained 51 marks and 40 marks respectively, whereas these petitioners have obtained lesser marks than the above-named candidates.

4. After hearing the parties, I have perused the results. From the results, it is quite clear that these petitioners had obtained much lesser marks than the candidates who have been selected. Further the petitioners have relied upon a document which is the result published by R.C. Primary School, Gara (Annexure-3 to the writ petition), but this document is *prima facie* a manufactured document. I came to the said conclusion after comparing the same with Annexure-B to the counter affidavit and the marks obtained by Nillu Horo (at serial No.13), as projected in Annexure-3 to the writ petition. In Annexure-3 to the writ petition, it has been shown that Nillu Horo, has obtained 69 marks but after totalling the individual subject mark, it comes to 79 marks, which is in fact has been correctly projected in Annexure-B to the counter affidavit. Further, Annexure-3 to the writ petition does not bear any signature of any

person, whereas Annexure-B to the counter affidavit, which is a correct document, contains signature of the Inspector of Catholic Schools, Gumla, Jharkhand, and others also. Thus, Annexure-3 to the writ petition has been filed only to wrongly influence this Court.

5. Considering the fact that the petitioners are ladies and have not been appointed, I am not inclined to take any stern action against them but they are warned to be more cautious in future.

6. With the aforesaid observations, this writ petition stands **dismissed**.

7. Pending interlocutory application being I.A. No.14720 of 2025, stands **disposed of**.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

21st January, 2026
Prashant. Cp-2

Uploaded on 27.01.2026