

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Revision No.71 of 2024

Mukesh Murmu	...	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Jharkhand & Ors.	...	Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Lakhan Chandra Roy, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mr. Anup Pawan Topno, APP

9/24.11.2025 Heard the parties.

2. I.A. No.5108 of 2025 has been filed for stay of execution of the awarded amount as petition has been instituted Section 128 of Cr.P.C. in Original Maintenance Case No.250 of 2021.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the O.P. No.2 is not the legally married wife of the petitioner and now the learned Court is proceeding under Section 128 Cr.P.C., in view of that the stay may kindly be allowed.
4. Considering that the learned court has allowed the maintenance petition by a cogent reason unless the original maintenance order is set-aside in absence of any cogent material, the stay cannot be granted.
5. As such, the I.A. No.5108 of 2025 meant for stay is hereby rejected.
6. Let this matter appear under the appropriate heading.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

24.11.2025
R.Kumar