



year 2020 leaving behind his legal heirs and successors. Since his father has received a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- from Md. Anwar Ansari, but he could not execute the registered deed of sale in favour of Md. Anwar Ansari and Md. Anwar Ansari has lodged Original Suit No.265 of 2020 before the court of Civil Judge, Junior Division under Specific performance of contract against Onkar Prasad, Kiran Prasad Sahu, Akshay Kumar, Abhishek Kumar and Swarnjeet Kumar and thereafter, when Onkar Prasad and Kiran Prasad Sahu had executed the registered deed of sale in favour of Mandayal Mahto vide Deed No.905 dated 15.06.2021 as per choice of Md. Anwar Ansari showing consideration amount of Rs.2,10,000/- as per the valuation of the property though they have not received any consideration amount from the purchasers since Lalu Sahu had already received the consideration amount. In this back ground, he submits that if the case is made out that is civil in nature and one original suit is already pending and for that a criminal case has been lodged. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be granted.

4. Learned counsel for the State and the informant jointly oppose the prayer and submit that by way of suppressing genealogy, execution of the deed has been made. They submit that the informant is uncle of these petitioners and in conspiracy, they have sold the land and in view of that case of forgery is made out. On these grounds, anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. Admittedly, uncle, who is the informant, has lodged the case against their nephews, who are the petitioners and allegations are made that on the forged and fabricated genealogy, execution of deed has been done, however, the facts further remains that the father of these petitioners has purchased the said land which was mutated in his name and father has already entered into an agreement of sale in his life time with Md. Anwar Ansari and in the meantime, left for his heavenly abode and thereafter, Original Suit No.265 of 2020 has been filed and with consent of Md. Anwar Ansari in absence of taking any consideration, as the amount has already been taken by the father of the petitioners, the sale deed was executed in favour of Mandayal Mahto. In the attending facts and circumstances, prima facie, it

transpires that this is family dispute and for that criminal case has been lodged. In the attending facts and circumstances, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the above-named petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned Court within two weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender, they shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lohardaga, in connection with Lohardaga P.S. Case No. 207 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

**(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)**

Anit

Uploaded  
08.05.2026