

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 339 of 2026

Vijay Singh @ Dara, aged about 25 years, son of Late Manohar Singh, resident of village – Jamadoba, Dumri No.02, PO – Jamadoba, PS – Jorapokhar, District – Dhanbad

.... Petitioner

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

With

A.B.A. No. 510 of 2026

- 1.** Harsh Singh @ Harsh Raj Singh, aged about 19 years
- 2.** Yash Singh @ Yashraj Singh, aged about 21 years,
Both are S/o Manoj Singh @ Manoj Kumar Singh, R/o Dumri 3 No., near DAV School, PO and PS – Jorapokhar, District – Dhanbad

.... Petitioners

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

A.B.A. No. 339 of 2026

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Ranjan Kumar, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Fahad Allam, Advocate

A.B.A. No. 510 of 2026

For the Petitioners :- Mr. Shailesh Kr. Singh, Advocate

:- Mr. Abhijeet Kr. Singh, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Jitendra Pandey, Advocate

03/13.02.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as the learned counsel appearing for the State.

- 2.** The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Jorapokhar P.S. Case No.129 of 2025 for the alleged offences

--1--

registered under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 352 and 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C., Dhanbad.

3. Both the cases are arising out of the same FIR, as such both the cases have been heard together.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that falsely the petitioners have been implicated in this case and the allegations are made of pressing the neck and placing pistol on the head of the informant and further allegation is made that all the petitioners have also assaulted the brother of the informant. He further submits that the name of the petitioners has transpired only on the basis of old enmity with the co-accused. On these grounds, he submits that the petitioners may kindly be granted anticipatory bail.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and submits that there is direct allegation against the petitioners of assault upon the body of Anish Kumar, who happened to be brother of the informant. By way of referring to the contents of the FIR, he submits that the allegations are there against the petitioners of assault along with others and each of the petitioners are having criminal antecedents. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail of the petitioners may kindly be rejected.

6. Looking into the contents of the FIR, it transpires that petitioners along with others have assaulted the informant and even

they have shown the arms and when brother of the informant tried to rescue the informant then all the petitioners together assaulted the informant and his brother and further all the petitioners are having criminal antecedent.

7. In the attending facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners, above named, is hereby rejected.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

*Dated 13.02.2026
Sangam/*