

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI

A.B.A. No. 289 of 2026

- 1.Noor Hussain Miyan @ Nur Mohammad Miyan, aged about 70 years, son of late Mahmud Miyan
- 2.Babar Ansari, aged about 45 years, son of Noor Hussain Miyan @ Nur Mohammad Miyan
- 3.Kamaluddin Ansari, aged about 42 years, son of Noor Hussain Miyan @ Nur Mohammad Miyan
- 4.Samaruddin Ansari, aged about 38 years, son of Noor Hussain Miyan @ Nur Mohammad Miyan
- 5.Salauddin Ansari, aged about 32 years son of Noor Hussain Miyan @ Nur Mohammad Miyan

All residents of Village Leto, PO and PS Palojori,
Dist.Deoghar Petitioners

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner2	:-	Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:-	Mr. Someshwar Roy, Advocate
For the Informant	:-	Mr. Rahul Ranjan, Advocate

2/20.01.2026 Heard learned counsels for petitioners and for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Palajori P.S. Case No.78 of 2025, for offence registered under section 126(2), 117(2), 115(2), 109(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023, pending in court of learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Madhupur.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that there are general and omnibus allegations against the petitioner of assault and altercation took place due to ancestral property dispute.

4. Learned counsel for State as well as the learned counsel for the informant jointly oppose the prayer and submit that the petitioners happened to be own brothers and they have brutally assaulted the husband of the informant and pursuant to that, grievous injury has been received and in view of that, the anticipatory bail may not be granted to the petitioners.

5. Looking to the contents of the FIR it transpires that there is allegation of assault against all the petitioners and the petitioners are happened to be own brothers of the victim who has received two grievous injuries and one injury is simple in nature and the same has come in the order of the learned Sessions Judge, and as such, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is, hereby, rejected and as such, this anticipatory bail application being A.B.A. No.289 of 2026 is, hereby, dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

20.01.2026
SI/