

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
M.A. No. 8 of 2024

1. Sangita Devi, wife of late Krishnadev Prasad Yadav @ Krishna Yadav age about 27 years,
2. Jyoti Kumari, daughter of Late Krishnadev Prasad Yadav @ Krishna Yadav age about 6 years is minor represented by Mother appellant no 1, both R/O Village- Goniya, P.O- Bahsa Pipra. P.S.-Fatehpur, District-Gaya (Bihar).

..... Appellants

Versus

1. Ganesh Yadav, S/o Muni Yadav, R/o Village Amatary P.O. Amatary & P.S.- Mohanpur, Dist- Gaya State-Bihar.
2. Branch Manager, Bajaj Allianz General Insurance Co. Ltd., P.O. & P.S. -Bank More, Katras Road, District – Dhanbad, State- Jharkhand. Issuing Office;- 06th Floor, Mani Sqaure, 06th Floor Mani Sqaure, 164 Canal Circle Road, Mani Square Premises, P.O & P.S. Canal Circle, Dist.- Kolkata, West Bengal. (Insurer of Supro Maxi Truck/ Tata Ace Tempo No-WB-03D/5404).

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

For the Appellants : Mr Gaurav Kumar, Advocate
For the Resp. No. 1 : Mr Nilesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate
Mr Ankur Anand, Advocate
For the Resp. No. 2 : Mr Alok Lal, Advocate

7/Dated: 10.04.2026

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the parties referred to the settlement agreement dated 26.03.2026 arrived at between the parties in the mediation proceedings held by the Jharkhand State Legal Services Authority.
3. In terms of the settlement agreement, the 2nd respondent-Insurance Company had agreed to pay the appellants a sum of Rs.4,00,000/- towards full and final settlement of their claim over and above the amount already paid to her earlier.
4. Mr. Alok Lal, learned counsel for the 2nd respondent states that the appellants had earlier been paid rupees eighteen lacs and by now settlement, they are being paid a further amount of rupees four lacs. He further states that

the amount of rupees four lacs is already deposited by the Insurance Company before the MACT, Dhanbad.

5. Accordingly, this appeal is disposed of by directing the 2nd respondent-Insurance Company to comply with the terms of the settlement agreement.

6. Accordingly, the appellants are directed to withdraw this amount and the Registrar of the MACT must facilitate such withdrawal by directly transferring this amount into the 1st appellant, i.e. Sangita Devi's Bank account. Learned counsel for the appellants states that Bank and identity details will be supplied to the registry of the Civil Court where the amount is deposited immediately.

7. The appeal is disposed of in the above terms without any order for cost.

(M.S. Sonak, C.J.)

April 10, 2026
Ranjeet / R.Kr.
NAFR
Uploaded on 10.04.2026