

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

M.A. No. 5 of 2023

Chhabi Mahato Appellant

Versus

Kalipada Mahato & Ors. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the appellant : Mr. Sanjay Prasad, Advocate

For the Respondent No. 1 : None

05/22.06.2024 It has been reported by the office that the notices issued to the respondent nos. 1, 3 and 4 have been validly served to them.

2. Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent no. 1 has already been filed. However, no one appears on behalf of the said respondent.

3. No Vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of the respondent nos. 3 and 4.

4. It has further been reported that the notice issued to the respondent no. 2 could not be served as she had died.

I.A. No. 6838 of 2023

5. The present interlocutory application has been filed on behalf of the appellant under Section 5 of the Limitation Act seeking condonation of delay of 7 days in preferring the present appeal.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the appellant and for the reasons stated in the present interlocutory application, the aforesaid delay caused in preferring the present appeal is hereby condoned.

7. I.A. No. 6838 of 2023 is accordingly disposed of.

M.A. No. 5 of 2023

8. Put up this case under appropriate heading on 25.07.2024.

Manish

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)