

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.2383 of 2025

Koushik Das @ Kaushik Das, S/o Sailen Das.	 Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Jharkhand.	 Opposite Party

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Ayushi Aman, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Azeemuddin, A.P.P.

09/ 08.07.2025

Heard the parties.

2. This anticipatory bail application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, has been preferred by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No.296 of 2019, for offences under Sections 419 & 420 IPC. The case is presently pending before the Court of learned J.M.F.C. at Ranchi.

3. There is an allegation that this petitioner has induced the informant to pay Rs.3,50,200/- on the pretext of installing Airtel tower. The informant was asked to deposit Rs.2,25,000/- in the account of this petitioner and then the informant could come to know that this petitioner is a fraud and has cheated him.

4. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in catering business and since the informant owed some money from Manoj Jha, the amount was deposited in the account of this petitioner.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the amount so deposited was not by Manoj Jha but was by the informant, so the defence of the petitioner cannot

be accepted.

6. After hearing the parties, I find that there is an allegation that the petitioner has duped the informant on the pretext of installing the Airtel tower. He has taken Rs.3,50,200/- in Bank Account bearing No.0412010219070. The petitioner cannot deny the fact that this account is not in the name of this petitioner. Thus, this is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, this Anticipatory Bail Application stands **dismissed**.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)