

[2026:JHHC:16358]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.122 of 2026

Dipak Saw @ Deepak Sahu @ Dipak Sahu, aged about 34 years,
son of Sri Sukhdev Sahu, resident of Village- Dhangadda, P.O. &
P.S.- Tandwa, District- Chatra, Jharkhand

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Md. Asghar, Advocate
For the State : Ms. Kumari Rashmi, Addl.P.P
For the Informant : Mr. Arwind Kumar, Advocate

Order No.05 Dated-08-06-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with S.T. Case No.73 of 2025 arising out of Tandwa P.S. Case No.259 of 2024 registered under Section 140 (2), 3 (5) of the B.N.S, 2023 and later on Sections 103 (1), 109, 238, 61 (2) of the B.N.S., 2023 were added.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is the third journey of the petitioner with the self-same prayer as earlier the prayers for regular bail of the petitioner were rejected on merit vide orders dated 09.04.2025 and 01.08.2025 passed in B.A. No.1182 of 2025 and in B.A. No.6678 of 2025 respectively without appreciating the submissions made by the petitioner. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State and the learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submit that keeping in view the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner of committing murder, there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with the evidence if released on bail. It is further submitted that four of the witnesses have also been examined and they have supported the case of the prosecution and the deposition of the said witnesses have deliberately been suppressed by

the petitioner. It is, therefore, submitted that the petitioner ought not be released on bail at this stage.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner, the evidence that has already come during the trial of the case as well as his chance of absconding and tampering with the evidence, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case where the above-named petitioner be released on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected at this stage.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated-08.06.2026-Animesh/