

(2026:JHHC:6870)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 471 of 2026

Mangla @ Gulam Mustafa @ Mogla, aged about 41 years, son
of S.K. Razak @ Abdul Razak, resident of Jairampur,
Satanpara, Post Lal Gala, Police Station -Lal Gala, District -
Murshidabad, State -West Bengal.

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

...

Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Md. Vikash Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Bhola Nath Ojha, Spl. P.P.

Order No.03 Dated- 13.03.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Adityapur P.S. Case No.137 of 2023(S) corresponding to N.D.P.S. Case No. 16 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 17(b)/21(b), 25 of the Narcotics Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is the second journey of the petitioner with the prayer for regular bail and earlier the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner was rejected by this Court vide order dated 11.06.2025 in B.A. No. 3991 of 2025. It is next submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was involved in illegal business of brown sugar and 41.04 grams of brown sugar and cash of Rs.2,60,000/- has been recovered in connection with this case. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false. It is further submitted that so far three witnesses have been examined during the trial but none of them have stated anything to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 27.03.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 15 of the bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and

further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Spl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge -1, Seraikella, in connection with Adityapur P.S. Case No.137 of 2023(S) corresponding to N.D.P.S. Case No. 16 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)