

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No. 704 of 2021

M/s. Vandi Industrial Engineers through its Proprietor Satish
 Choudhary @ Satish Kumar Choudhary @ Satish Kumar

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Ors. Respondents

With

W.P.(C) No. 36 of 2021

M/s. Vandi Industrial Engineers through its Proprietor Satish
 Choudhary @ Satish Kumar Choudhary @ Satish Kumar

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Ors. Respondents

With

Cont. (Cv1) No. 154 of 2023

M/s. Vandi Industrial Engineers through its Proprietor Satish
 Choudhary @ Satish Kumar Choudhary @ Satish Kumar

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Ors. Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI

For the Petitioners : Mr. Krishna Murari, Advocate

: Mr. Jayesh Anand, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Rajiv Ranjan, A.G.

For the SAIL : Mr. Shresth Gautam, Advocate

Order No. 11/Dated 18th March, 2024

1. In course of argument, Mr. Krishna Murari, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, has drawn the attention of this Court with respect to a document dated 14.08.2020, which is the order passed by the Chief Inspector of Factories Jharkhand, Ranchi by which, the competency granted to the present petitioner has been revoked with immediate effect.

2. The ground has been taken that whatever explanation has been furnished in the show cause, the same has not been considered and without giving any reason the order has been passed that explanation shown to the imputation made in the show cause are not satisfactory.

3. When this Court has queried about the show cause then he has submitted that the said show cause is available in writ petition being W.P.(C) No. 36 of 2021.

4. This Court has pointed out that how can the document which is not a part of the present writ petition being W.P.(C) No. 704 of 2021 can be taken note while adjudicating the present writ petition by taking note of the document filed in another writ petition even though as per the petitioner the same is co-related with the issue of the present writ petition being W.P.(C) No. 704 of 2021, then, the learned counsel for the petitioner has sought for time to bring all the relevant documents making part of the present writ petition.

5. As prayed for, three weeks' time, after Holi Holidays, is being granted to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. Such order has been passed in presence of the learned Advocate General appearing for the State.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to serve the copy of the affidavit one week in advance to the learned counsel appearing for the State as well as learned counsel appearing for the

SAIL so that response, if any, of the same be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

8. With the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, let these matters be posted on 30th April, 2024.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)

Umesh/