

**Chander Kumar Sharma v. State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.**

**CWP No. 131 of 2026**

**3.3.2026** Present: Mr. Karan Kapoor, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General, for the respondents.

While placing on record communication dated 3.3.2026, issued under the signature of District Magistrate, Shimla, Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General states that since mother of the convict refused to share the details of her house at Panchkula, needful in terms of order dated 27.2.2026, could not be done. During proceedings of the case, learned counsel for the petitioner made available details with regard to house in the name of mother of the petitioner at Panchkula, copy whereof has been made available to the learned Additional Advocate General for verification. Needful shall be done within one week. Needless to say, while verifying the factum of property, if any, of the mother of the petitioner on the given address at Panchkula, antecedents of the petitioner especially with regard to his involvement in the case at hand, shall not be disclosed or shared with the residents of that area.

List on **16.3.2026**.

**March 3, 2026**  
*manjit*

**(Sandeep Sharma),  
Judge**