

Rewat Ram Vs. State & Ors.

CWP No. 592/2026

20.04.2026 Present: Mr. Harish Kumar, Advocate, vice counsel, for the petitioner.

Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Mr. L.N. Sharma, Additional Advocates General with Mr. Sikander Bhushan, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No.1 to 4.

Ms. Preetika Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr. Gourav Kochhar, Advocate, for respondents No.5 to 7.

Ms. Preetika Thakur, learned vice counsel, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 5 to 7.

Reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 is stated to have been filed, however, the same is not on record. Be placed on record, if in order.

Office reports that respondent No.8 is unserved for want of correct address.

Learned vice counsel for respondents No.5 to 7 submits that respondent No.8 has wrongly been reflected in the memo of parties as Kanchan D/o late Dharam Chand, whereas her real name is Dhanvanti D/o late Dharam Chand.

Learned vice counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' time to look into the above aspect and to take fresh steps for the service of respondent No.8. In the event of steps being taken, issue notice to the said respondent, returnable within three weeks, thereafter.

As prayed for, list after six weeks.

Reply on behalf of respondents No.5 to 7 and rejoinder to the reply filed by respondents No.1 to 4, be also filed in the meanwhile.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

20th April, 2026 (Rohit)