



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

LPA No.919 of 2025

Decided on : 04.05.2026

Mittar Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Madan Lal and Others.

...Respondents.

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Bipin Chander Negi, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the appellant : Mr. Nishant Khidtta, Advocate.

For the respondent : Mr. Bimal Gupta, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Kusum Chaudhary, Advocate.

Mr. Varun Chandel, Addl. Advocate,
General, for respondent No.3.

Mr. C.N. Singh, Advocate, for respondent
No.8.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate, for
respondent No.9.

Sandeep Sharma, J. (Oral)

By way of the present appeal, challenge has been laid to the judgment passed by the learned Single Judge, in ***CWP No.6129 of 2023, titled Madan Lal and Anr. Vs. Mittar Singh and others***, decided on 26.11.2025.

2. After having carefully perused the judgment passed by the

¹Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment? Yes



learned Single Judge, we find that the said judgment has been passed with the consent of the parties. Otherwise also, the learned Single Judge has not decided the matter on merits, rather has remanded the matter back to the Divisional Commissioner, with direction to decide the matter in light of the judgment passed by the Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.579 of 2017, decided on 17.08.2017.

3. Thus, we are of the considered view that the learned Single Judge has not erred in any manner in allowing the writ petition and we do not find any plausible reason to take a different view. Resultantly, the Letters Patent Appeal being devoid of any merit is dismissed. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

(Bipin Chander Negi)
Judge

04th May, 2026
(Gaurav Rawat)