

Tarsem Singh Chandel versus State of H.P. and others

CWP No.20657 of 2025

20.08.2026 Present: Mr. Kush Sharma and Mr. Tanishq Chandel, Advocates, for the petitioner.

Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No. 1 to 4.

Mr. K.B. Khajuria, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

Petitioner's grievance is that despite the land comprised in Khasra No.408/243/1, measuring 0-10 biswas, situated at Village Balh-Seena, Pargana Bachretu, Tehsil Jhandutta, District Bilaspur having been transferred by the Deputy Commissioner, Bilaspur to the Animal Husbandry Department for construction of Veterinary Dispensary, the aforesaid institution has still not come up. The official respondents in their reply have vaguely mentioned about there being some bore-well having been constructed by respondent No.6 on the land in question but have also stated about more than ₹20,00,000/- having been deposited with the Executive Agency for construction of building of Veterinary Dispensary, Gandhir, District Bilaspur over the land in question which amount has not been utilized due to certain alleged encroachments.

Learned counsel for the petitioner expresses his apprehension that in view of office letter dated 10.02.2022

(Annexure P1), the budgetary provisions made available would lapse by 11.09.2026. Ld. Deputy Advocate General on the basis of instructions imparted submitted that budgetary provisions shall not lapse as budget has been deposited with the Executive Agency.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.6 submits that over the land in question a cowshed is being run that too w.e.f. year 2015. The clear position of the facts is not coming from the reply. Let respondent No.3 to place on record supplementary affidavit clarifying all the above issue within two weeks.

List on 16.09.2026.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

August 20, 2026
(yogesh)