

Tarsem Singh Chandel Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.

CWP No.20657 of 2025

25.05.2026 Present: Mr. Kush Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Choudhry, Assistant Advocate General,
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Respondent No.5 *ex-parte*.

Mr. K.B. Khajuria, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

Petitioner's grievance is that an amount of Rs.20.29 Lakhs has been sanctioned and approved by the official respondents for construction of building of Veterinary Dispensary Gandhir, District Bilaspur, but the construction has not been carried out as respondent No.6 has encroached over the land where the dispensary is to be constructed.

Prima facie, respondents No.1 and 2 have vindicated the grievance of the petitioner in their reply. According to these respondents, sanctioned amount of Rs.20.29 Lakhs had been deposited with the Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division Jhandutta, District Bilaspur for construction of building vide letter dated 01.04.2025 with request to start the construction of the building in question at the earliest, but respondent No.6 had encroached the land donated by the Deputy Commissioner, Bilaspur by drilling a borewell on the land without any prior intimation to the Animal Husbandry Department.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited attention to order dated 11.09.2024, highlighting the significance of the timelines for carrying out the construction of the Dispensary within two years from the date of the order.

Despite the directions issued to respondents No.3 and 4 in order dated 22.04.2026, reply/instructions have not been filed by these respondents. At the request of learned Assistant Advocate General, final opportunity of two weeks is granted for doing the needful.

Reply by respondent No.6 be also filed in the meanwhile. It is made clear that this shall be the final opportunity for the purpose.

List on **17.06.2026**.

May 25, 2026
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge