



2026:HHC:8424

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA**

**COPC No.1550 of 2025**  
**Decided on: 23.03.2026**

---

Joginder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Priyanka Kumari and Anr.

...Respondents

---

*Coram*

**Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua**

<sup>1</sup> *Whether approved for reporting?*

---

For the petitioner:

Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Advocate.

For the respondent:

Mr. Vishwadeep Sharma, Additional  
Advocate General.

---

**Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge**

Petitioner alleges violation of directions issued in

***Joginder Singh Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others<sup>2</sup>.***

**2.** Following operative directions were issued in  
*Joginder Singh<sup>2</sup>*:-

*“2. This petition is disposed of with the observation that the proceedings which have been initiated by the Authorities concerned in the light of the complaints filed by the villagers, be taken to their logical conclusion within a time bound period, i.e. on or*

---

<sup>1</sup> *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes*

<sup>2</sup> CWP No.12708 of 2025 decided on 06.08.2025



*before 31.10.2025, by complying with the principles of natural justice as well as Himachal Pradesh Panchayati Raj Act. It is clarified that this Court has not made any observation on the merits of the case. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any also stand disposed of accordingly.”*

**3.** Respondents have filed the compliance affidavit, in terms thereof, an inquiry was initiated by the respondents at Block level through the Block Development Officer Shillai, District Sirmour, H.P. on 05.03.2025. During the course of inquiry, petitioner appeared before the inquiry team on 24.09.2025 and voluntarily submitted his sworn affidavit to the effect that he does not wish to pursue the complaint any further. In view of petitioner’s voluntary withdrawal statement, the complaint was taken on record as withdrawn and the inquiry proceedings were closed at the office level. Alongwith the compliance affidavit, respondents have enclosed the sworn affidavit of the petitioner. Petitioner’s statement recorded before the Inquiry Officer in this regard has also been placed on record.

**4.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that despite his best efforts, petitioner has not imparted any specific instructions to him.



5. Taking note of above compliance affidavit filed by the respondents and the documents appended therewith, these contempt proceedings are closed. Notices issued to the respondents are discharged.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua  
Judge

March 23, 2026  
*R.Atal*