

Shruti Sharma Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.

CWP No.19276 of 2025

09.12.2025 Present: Mr. Atharv Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. L.N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General,
for the respondents.

Notice. Mr. L.N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner invites attention to Annexure P-4 dated 12.03.2025, wherein two reasons have been accorded by the respondents for rejecting petitioner's compassionate employment case, i.e. (i) That the petitioner is a married daughter; and (ii) Her family income is much more than prescribed for a family of three members.

3. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, petitioner's father-late Sh. Bias Dev Sharma was survived by four legal heirs and not three, i.e. his mother-Smt. Sunder Devi, his wife-Smt. Neera Sharma, his son-Sh. Amit Sharma and daughter-Ms. Shruti Sharma (petitioner). Income of the family, therefore, has to be taken against the prescribed income of Rs.2,50,000/- for a family of four members. Inviting attention to page 25 of the paper book, it was submitted that petitioner's family income was Rs.2,43,000/- per annum, therefore, within the permissible income limit. The second reason of petitioner

being married daughter was also disputed on facts. Learned counsel submitted that the petitioner is the only daughter of the deceased government employee-late Sh. Bias Dev Sharma and she is not married.

4. Let learned Additional Advocate General to have instructions in the matter.

List on **16.12.2025.**

CMP No.29706 of 2025

Disposed of as not pressed at this stage.

December 09, 2025
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge