

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.****Civil Revision No. 180 of 2025****Decided on: 27.11.2025**

Suresh Chand petitioner

Versus

Gian Chand

.....respondent

Coram:**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Bipin Chander Negi, Judge**Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the petitioner : Mr. Ashutosh Bhardwaj,
Advocate.**For the respondent** : Nemo.

Bipin Chander Negi, Judge (oral)

By way of the present petition, a challenge has been laid to the impugned order dated 22.11.2025, passed by the learned Civil Judge, Court No. 2, Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P., whereby an application preferred under Order 7 Rule 11 of CPC by the present petitioner/defendant, has been dismissed.

2. Heard counsel for the petitioner and perused the application filed under Order 7 Rule 11 of CPC.

3. At the very outset, suffices it to state that while considering an application under Order 7 Rule 11 of CPC, all that has to be considered is the plaint and the documents appended alongwith. From the content of the application, nowhere has it been mentioned upon a consideration of the plaint and the documents appended, ingredients of Order 7 Rule 11 CPC

¹ Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?



stands complied with. In view thereof, I see no reason to interfere in the impugned order.

4. The present revision is accordingly dismissed.
Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(Bipin Chander Negi)
Judge

27th November, 2025
Susheel