



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,  
SHIMLA

CWP No. 18486/2025  
Decided on: 28.11.2025

Dalip Singh

...Petitioner

**Versus**

State of H.P and another

....Respondents.

.....  
**Coram**

**Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.**

**Whether approved for reporting?<sup>1</sup>**

**For the petitioner:**

**Ms. Shashi Kiran, Advocate.**

**For the respondents:**

**Mr. Sikander Bhushan, Deputy  
Advocate General and Mr. Rajat  
Choudhry, Assistant Advocate  
General.**

.....  
**Jyotsna Rewal Dua , J**

Notice. Mr. Rajat Choudhry, learned Assistant Advocate General, appears and accepts, notice on behalf of respondents.

2. Petitioner is seeking applicability of ***Hari Ram and others versus State of H.P and others.***<sup>2</sup>

3. Admittedly, the petitioner has invoked extra ordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India without even preferring any representation to the competent authority for the redressal of his grievances raised in the writ petition.

<sup>1</sup> Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

<sup>2</sup>CWP No.5653 of 2011, decided on 18.10.2012.



4. Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be preferring a representation within two weeks from today. In case, such representation is so preferred, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same, in accordance with law, within further period of six weeks. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

5. The instant petition is disposed of in above terms. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**Jyotsna Rewal Dua**  
**Judge**

**28<sup>th</sup> November, 2025**<sub>(yogesh)</sub>