



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA

CWP No. 18502 & 18504/2025

Decided on: 28.11.2025

1. CWP No. 18502/2025

Mineka Rajan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr.

....Respondents.

2. CWP No. 18504/2025

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr.

....Respondents.

.....
Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner(s):

**Ms. Anchal Sharma, Advocate, in
both the matters.**

For the respondent(s):

**Mr. Rajat Choudhary, Assistant
Advocate General in both the
matters.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, J

Notice. Mr. Rajat Choudhary, learned Assistant Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents in both the matters.

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their respective representation(s) annexed with these writ petitions, seeking

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes



applicability of ***Mohit Sharma Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***² have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submitted that the petitioner(s) would be content, in case, respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation(s) of the petitioner(s), in accordance with law, within a time bound manner. Learned Assistant Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of by directing the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation(s) of the petitioner(s), in accordance with law and as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in case of *Mohit Sharma*², within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioner(s). Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

28th November, 2025_(rohit)

²CWP No. 1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024