



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA

CWP No. 18530/2025
Decided on: 28.11.2025

Ishita Ghaonta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr.

....Respondents.

.....
Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner:

**Ms. Tim Saran, Advocate, vice
counsel.**

For the respondents:

**Mr. Rajat Choudhary, Assistant
Advocate General.**

.....
Jyotsna Rewal Dua , J

Notice. Mr. Rajat Choudhary, learned Assistant
Advocate General, accept notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioner is seeking applicability of **Mohit Sharma Vs.
State of H.P. & Ors.**²

3. Admittedly, the petitioner has invoked extra ordinary
jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
without even preferring any representation to the competent authority
for the redressal of her grievance raised in the writ petition.

¹ *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

²CWP No. 1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024



4. Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be preferring her representation within two weeks from today. In case, such representation is so preferred, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same, in accordance with law, within further period of six weeks. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

5. The instant petition is disposed of in above terms. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

28th November, 2025_(rohit)