

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.18469 of 2024
Date of Decision: 28.11.2025

Kushla Kumari

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner: Mr. Devender K. Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Learned counsel representing the petitioner, states that issue raised in the instant proceedings already stands adjudicated by this Court in ***CWP No. 3341 of 2019, case titled as “Madan Lal Sharma v. State of Himachal Pradesh and Anr,*** decided on 4.9.2021 and as such, petitioner would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide case of the petitioner in light of aforesaid judgment in a time bound manner. Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to the aforesaid innocuous prayer made by the petitioner.

2. Consequently, in view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide

representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-9) in light of judgment, as detailed hereinabove, expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks. In case, petitioner is found to be similarly situate to the petitioners in the aforesaid judgment, she would be extended similar benefits. Needless to say, authority concerned while doing the needful in terms of the instant order shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereupon. All pending applications stand disposed of.

November 28, 2025

(manjit)

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**