

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.18453 of 2025

Date of Decision: 28.11.2025

Suresh Dhacta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others

... Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? ¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol and Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocate Generals with Mr. Ravi Chauhan and Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocate Generals.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

Learned counsel for the parties are ad-idem that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment dated 29.11.2024 passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No.1638 of 2024, titled **Mohit Sharma and another vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others.**

2. Having perused the averments contained in the petition, especially relief clause vis-à-vis judgment dated 29.11.2024 passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **Mohit Sharma's** case (supra),

¹ Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

this Court finds that case of the petitioner is identical to the aforesaid case decided by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court. Vide aforesaid judgment, this Court has already dealt with all the points raised in the instant petition and as such, respondents can be directed to decide the case of the petitioner in light of the aforesaid judgment rendered by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

3. Consequently, In view of the above, the directions contained in the aforesaid judgment rendered by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **Mohit Sharma's case** (supra) are ordered to be made *mutatis mutandis* applicable in the present case for all intents and purposes.

In the aforesaid terms, present petition is disposed of alongwith pending application(s), if any.

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**

November 28, 2025
(shankar)