

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,  
SHIMLA**

**CWP No. 18482/2025**

**Decided on: 28.11.2025**

Ajay Kumar & Ors.

...Petitioners

**Versus**

State of H.P. & Ors.

....Respondents.

.....  
**Coram**

**Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.**

**Whether approved for reporting?<sup>1</sup>**

**For the petitioner:**

**Ms. Vandana Thakur, Advocate.**

**For the respondents:**

**Mr. Rajat Choudhary, Assistant  
Advocate General.**

---

**Jyotsna Rewal Dua , J**

Notice. Mr. Rajat Choudhary, learned Assistant Advocate General, accept notice on behalf of the respondents.

**2.** Petitioners are seeking applicability of **Mohit Sharma Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.**<sup>2</sup>

**3.** Admittedly, the petitioners have invoked extra ordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India without even preferring any representation to the competent authority for the redressal of their grievance raised in the writ petition.

**4.** Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be preferring their

---

<sup>1</sup> Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

<sup>2</sup>CWP No. 1638 of 2024, decided on 29.11.2024

respective representations within two weeks from today. In case, such representations are so preferred, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same, in accordance with law, within further period of six weeks. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

**5.** The instant petition is disposed of in above terms. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**Jyotsna Rewal Dua  
Judge**

**28<sup>th</sup> November, 2025<sub>(rohit)</sub>**