

Divya Anmol Vs. State of H.P. & others

CWP No.18241 of 2025

24.11.2025 Present: Mr. Jeevan Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General, for respondents No.1 to 4-State.
Mr. Mukul Sood, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

CWP No.18241 of 2025

Notice. Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Mukul Sood, learned Counsel, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and 5, respectively. As prayed for, reply be filed within four weeks. List thereafter.

CMP No.28120 of 2025

Notice in above terms. In the interregnum, in case the petitioner is able to provide an alternative accommodation for imparting of education to the students presently enrolled with it, the operation of Annexure P-12 shall remain stayed. However, the petitioner shall not run the classes in the disputed premises and will run the classes only in a new premises if the same are found suitable by Deputy Director, School Education (Elementary), Kangra, H.P.

Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

November 24, 2025
(Rishi)