

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA****CWP No.18239 of 2025****Date of Decision: 25.11.2025****Meena Kumari****.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.****.....Respondents****Coram****Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.****Whether approved for reporting?****For the Petitioner:** Mr. Yogesh Kumar Chandel, Advocate.**For the respondents:** Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocate General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.**Sandeep Sharma, J.** (Oral)

Before reply, if any, from the respondents could be received, learned counsel representing the petitioner, on instructions, states that petitioner would be content and satisfied in case her representation is considered and decided in the light of judgments passed by Hon'ble Apex Court in *Civil Appeal No.6309 of 2017* titled **Sunder Singh Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.** and *Civil Appeal No.4792 of 2022* titled **Balo Devi Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.**

2. Mr. Ravi Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, while putting appearance on behalf of the respondents, fairly states that he is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.



3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court without going into the merits of the case deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-7) expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from today in light of **Sunder Singh** and **Balo Devi** (*supra*). Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass appropriate orders thereafter. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate court of law, if she still remains aggrieved. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

November 25, 2025
(*sunil*)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge