

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA****CWP No.18374 of 2025****Decided on: 27.11.2025**

Rama Devi

.....Petitioner**Versus**

State of H.P. and others

.....Respondents**Coram****Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua****Whether approved for reporting?¹**

For the Petitioners: Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S.Dhaulta, Additional Advocate General for respondents N. 1 to 4.

Mr. T.R.Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S.Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. T.R. Sharma, learned counsel, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioner seeks applicability of **Sunder Singh**

Versus The State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.² and

¹ Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² Civil Appeal No.6309 of 2017, decided on 08.03.2018

***Balo Devi & others Versus State of Himachal Pradesh***

and others³ It is, however, seen from the record that the petitioner has not preferred any representation for the redressal of her grievances raised in the writ petition.

4. Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be preferring representation within two weeks from today. In case such a representation is so made, the same shall be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a period of six weeks thereafter. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

November 27, 2025
R.Atal

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

³ Civil Appeal No.4792 of 2022, decided on 18.07.2022