

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA****CWP No.18464 of 2025****Decided on: 27.11.2025**-----
Priyanka Thakur and Ors**.....Petitioners****Versus**

State of H.P. and others

.....Respondents
-----**Coram****Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua****Whether approved for reporting?¹**

For the Petitioners: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajat Chaudhary, Assistant
Advocate General for respondents N. 1
to 3.
Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate, for
respondent No.4.-----
Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Rajat Chaudhary, learned Assistant Advocate General and Mr. Ramesh Sharma, learned counsel, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioners seek applicability of **Nitin Thakur and others. Versus State of H.P. & Ors.**² It is, however,

¹ Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWP No. 4971 of 2024, decided alongwith connected matters on 23.09.2025



seen from the record that the petitioners have not preferred any representation(s) for the redressal of their grievances raised in the writ petition.

4. Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be preferring representation(s) within two weeks from today. In case such a representation(s) is so made, the same shall be decided by the competent authority in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a period of six weeks thereafter. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

November 27, 2025
R.Atal

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge