

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.18361 of 2025
Date of Decision: 27.11.2025

Chaman Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner: Mr. Dhananjay Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol and Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocate General, for the State.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Before reply, if any, could be called for from the respondents, learned counsel representing the petitioner, while inviting attention of this Court to judgment dated 23.6.2025, passed by this Court in **CWP No. 8032 of 2021**, titled **Vikas Kumar v. State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.** (alongwith connected matters), states that issue raised in the instant proceedings already stands adjudicated in afore judgment and as such, petitioner would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide case of the petitioner in light of aforesaid judgment in a time bound manner.

2. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with direction to the respondents to consider and decide representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-7) in light of afore judgment, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three weeks. Ordered accordingly. In case, petitioner is found to be similarly situate to the petitioner in the aforesaid judgment, he would be extended similar benefits. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner and pass appropriate orders thereafter. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

November 27, 2025

(manjit)

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge**