



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.18163 of 2025

Date of Decision: 24.11.2025

Harbhajan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner: Mr. Raj Thakur, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocate General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Before reply, if any, from the respondents could be received, learned counsel representing the petitioner, on instructions, states that petitioner would be content and satisfied in case his representation is considered and decided in the light of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.3341 of 2019 titled **Madan Lal Sharma Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Anr.**

2. While putting in appearance on behalf of respondents, Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, states that he is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner and representation, if any, filed by the petitioner shall be considered and decided expeditiously.



3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner (*Annexure P-1*) in light of **Madan Lal Sharma** (*supra*), expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from today. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner and pass speaking order thereafter. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

November 24, 2025
(*sunil*)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge