

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.18317 of 2025
Date of Decision: 27.11.2025

Mohd. Islam

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner: Ms. Babita Chauhan, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar and Mr. B.C. Verma, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents No. 1 to 3.
Mr. Tek Ram Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner's case is squarely covered by the judgment dated 8.3.2018, passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Civil Appeal No. 6309 of 2017, titled **Sunder Singh v. State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.** and as such, petitioner would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide her representation in a time bound manner.

2. Mr. Vishal Panwar, learned Additional Advocate General, while putting appearance on behalf of the respondents, fairly states

that he is not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court without going into the merits of the case deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide case of the petitioner in light of **Sunder Singh** (supra) expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereupon. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

November 27, 2025
(manjit)

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge