

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.18382 of 2025

Decided on: 26.11.2025

Ahkilesh and Anr.

.....**Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and others

.....**Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioners: Ms. Mamta Garg, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy
Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, learned
Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of
notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the
parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioners' grievance is that their
representations dated 15.10.2025 (Annexure P-3 Colly.)
seeking applicability of **Ghanshyam Dass and Ors Vs.**
State of H.P. and Ors² have not been decided till date by

¹Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWP No. 2056 of 2023 decided on 21.03.2024

the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Deputy Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of **Ghanshyam Dass²** within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

November 26, 2025
Mukesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge