

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.18192 of 2025

Decided on: 24th November, 2025

Anil Kumar

.....**Petitioner**

Versus

The Managing Director , H.R.T.C & another**Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the Petitioner: Mr. Vinay Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rahul Thakur, Advocate.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Rahul Thakur, learned counsel, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that his representation dated 05.01.2024 (Annexure P-2) seeking applicability of ***Vikram Singh versus Himachal Road Transport Corporation²*** has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in

¹ Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.

² CWPOA No. 2343 of 2020 decided on 09.11.2023, alongwith connected matters.

case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner dated 05.01.2024 (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of **Vikram Singh²** within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

November 24, 2025
yogesh

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge